



To the Chair and Members  
of the SecAnim (J L Thomas) Liaison Group

**Please ask for:** Jo Quinnell  
**Direct Dial:** 01392 265197  
**Email:** jo.quinnell@exeter.gov.uk  
**Our ref:**  
**Your ref:**

**AGENDA FOR  
SECANIM (J L THOMAS) LIAISON GROUP**

The **SecAnim (J L Thomas) Liaison Group** will meet on **TUESDAY 27 OCTOBER 2015**, commencing at **5.30 pm**, in the **Rennes Room, Civic Centre, Paris Street, Exeter** to consider the following business. If you have an enquiry regarding any items on this agenda, please contact Jo Quinnell on **Exeter 265197**.

**Entry to the Civic Centre can be gained through the Customer Service Centre, Paris Street.**

	Pages
1 Election of Chair	
2 Apologies	
3 Notes of meeting held on 15 April 2015	1 - 4
4 Terms of Reference	5 - 6
5 Process update	
6 Complaints received since the last meeting	7 - 16
7 Any other business	
8 Date of next meeting - Wednesday 13 April at 5.30pm	

Office of Corporate Manager Democratic & Civic Support

Civic Centre, Paris Street, Exeter, EX1 1JN

Tel: 01392 277888

Fax: 01392 265593

[www.exeter.gov.uk](http://www.exeter.gov.uk)

**This page is intentionally left blank**

# Agenda Item 3

## EXETER CITY COUNCIL

### SECANIM (J L THOMAS) LIAISON GROUP

Wednesday 15 April 2015

#### **Present:-**

Councillor John Winterbottom (Chair)  
Councillor Margaret Clark  
John Staddon (Residents Representative)  
Nigel Fitzhugh (Residents Representative)  
Nick Parsons (SecAnim)

Councillor Keith Owen  
Councillor Norman Shiel  
Councillor Lesley Robson

Simon Lane, Environmental Health Manager  
Alex Bulleid, Environmental Health Technician  
Richard Shears, Environmental Technical Officer  
Karina Marin, Student Placement  
Jo Quinnell, Assistant Democratic Services Officer (Committees)

1

#### **APOLOGIES**

Apologies were received from Rod Ruffle (Residents Representative) and Rob Sargent (SecAnim)

2

#### **NOTES OF MEETING HELD ON 10 NOVEMBER 2014**

The notes of the meeting held on 10 November 2014 were agreed as a correct record.

3

#### **PROCESS UPDATE**

Nick Parsons reported that it was a very stable market at present, with production levels remaining constant.

Operationally the factory had been very reliable following the introduction of a new raw material feed system 18 months ago. There had been no significant breakdowns.

The boiler inspection had taken place over the Christmas period with no impact. The inspection went well and the factory was back up to full operation on the boilers.

A Member advised that she had received no further complaints from residents since the last meeting, but there were still odours from lorries travelling along Alphington Road.

Nick Parsons advised that all lorries are fully covered and leak proof and therefore there are no open gaps and it is sealed. The driver is responsible for the load and condition of the vehicle, although they are also required to abide by the factory's code of practice and scent the vehicle before entering the city. On average, the

factory receives 10 vehicles a day. The Environmental Health Technician added that there are no further legal requirements on the transport of raw material, and no legal powers to require the factory to use refrigerated lorries.

The majority of material is killed and collected in a short space of time and this is key to the process. However, knacker material can be stockpiled, but this is out of the factory's control. The speed at which the material is delivered to the factory is a national problem, controlled by market forces rather than legislation. It was suggested that representation could be made to MPs after the election.

4

#### **COMPLAINTS RECEIVED SINCE THE LAST MEETING**

A complaints summary was circulated (attached).

Two complaints had been received since the agenda was circulated.

There had been a relatively small level of complaints during the winter which was expected.

The summary of the number of days where multiple complaints had been received showed no particular trend. There was one day with a significant number of complaints last year which was reported to the last meeting.

Complaints had been received on 69 days in 2014. There had been continual improvements on site, and the number of complaints did not correlate with that. It was suggested that people's expectations had perhaps become higher. Once a complaint is received, the wind direction is checked and if it is not in the direction of the complaint, it is not attributed to the site.

The number of complaints received by complainant and road were noted and each complainant is given a number, eg resident C2 in Rivermead Road has made a complaint on two occasions.

It was noted that vehicles are unsheeted and unloaded inside the factory and need to pass through an air lock before the lorry is inside the building. There is no activity outside the building that creates an odour. If there is a breakdown, material is diverted off site to prevent a build up.

Nigel Fitzhugh advised that having undertaken a tour of the factory, the treatment tower smell is likely to be the odour that residents smell. He felt that there was a hidden number of people who don't complain as they think that no action will be taken. It was noted that a newsletter had recently been distributed asking residents to contact the author about odours and it will be interesting to see the results.

The Environmental Health Manager advised that in the case of the first additional complaint received, he was in the vicinity on another call at the time and was able to visit the area shortly after the complaint was received. A clean tower odour was picked up. He further added that they make every effort to respond to complaints in a timely manner and referred to the amount of resource needed to take a pro-active approach to monitor and predict when complaints may be received, eg weather conditions. Each and every complaint is investigated and every endeavour is made to engage with residents.

A Member advised that she had phoned some residents to gauge the problem. Those contacted advised that although odours were a problem in the past, they were not interested in becoming a residents' representative on the Group as they felt that the problem no longer existed.

Reference was made to a local residual smell just outside the factory that was present on a site visit, which could be noticeable on the adjacent cycle path. Nick Parsons thanked him for his comments, and said that it would be investigated.

The Portfolio Holder added that these meetings were valuable in order for councillors and residents representatives to be educated and convey these messages back to residents, as it may alleviate some of their concerns if they are more informed. Residents' representation was important from the three wards.

5                    **COMPLAINTS REPORT - 22 OCTOBER TO 9 DECEMBER 2014**

The complaints were noted.

6                    **COMPLAINTS REPORT - 10 DECEMBER 2014 TO 26 MARCH 2015**

The complaints were noted.

7                    **ANY OTHER BUSINESS**

**Residents Representatives**

It was noted that there were two residents representatives from St Leonards and one from Alphington. A request was put to Councillors to nominate two representatives for Priory ward and one from Alphington.

It was noted that a resident in Priory had come forward, although it was for the ward councillor to nominate as per the terms of reference.

**Councillors Winterbottom and Clark**

On behalf of the Group, the Environmental Health Technician thanked Councillors Winterbottom and Clark for their valued contribution to the group, as they were standing down at the forthcoming elections.

8                    **DATE OF NEXT MEETING - WEDNESDAY 14 OCTOBER 2015 AT 2PM**

The date of the next meeting was noted.

(The meeting commenced at 2.00 pm and closed at 2.47 pm)

Chair

**This page is intentionally left blank**

## SECANIM LTD LIAISON GROUP (FORMERLY JL THOMAS)

### Terms of Reference

#### Purpose

- (i) To facilitate the exchange of information relating to the operation of the permitted animal by-products process operated at Canal Banks, Exeter, between management of SARIA (trading as SecAnim), Councillors & officers of Exeter City Council (the Council) and designated residents from residential areas adjoining the plant.
- (ii) To report upon and examine instances of complaints arising from the factory or the carriage of materials to and from the factory, or other relevant occurrences that come to the attention of the parties; to foster a mutual understanding of the reasons for these instances and occurrences, and the impact on residents.
- (iii) To examine reasonably practicable means of preventing or mitigating any negative impact from these instances and occurrences, and to develop an effective monitoring and reporting system concerning these matters.
- (iii) To make suggestions for the improvement of the environmental conditions relevant to the operations of the permitted process having regard to the current Sector Guidance note.

#### Constitution

- (i) One Councillor drawn from each of the following wards - Alphington, St Leonards and Priory wards respectively, to represent the residents of within those wards.
- (ii) The Chair to be chosen from one of these three Councillors.
- (iii) Representatives from SecAnim Ltd.
- (iv) Up to 2 local residents from each of the wards of Alphington, Priory and St. Leonard's, to be nominated by the Ward Councillors serving each of those wards (N.B. nominations may be put by all 8 ward Councillors, and no more than 6 nominated residents may sit on the Group in total). Nominated residents shall represent their ward for at least a 12 month term.
- (v) Officers from the Office of the Assistant Director Environment of Exeter City Council.
- (vi) The Portfolio Holder for Environment, Health and Wellbeing at his or her discretion
- (vii) The Group has the discretion to nominate additional members to the group, as appropriate, by a majority decision (the Chair having a casting vote).
- (viii) The Group will meet no less than twice a year, and may convene additional meetings as it deems appropriate.
- (ix) Meetings will take place at the Civic Centre unless agreed otherwise by the Group.
- (x) The Council will provide secretarial support to the Group including the timely distribution of agendas and minutes.

**This page is intentionally left blank**

<b>Complaint Date</b>	<b>Odour Date / Time</b>	<b>Address</b>	<b>Details</b>
<b>WK/201500766</b>			
<b>05/05/2015</b>	<b>05/05/2015 11:30</b>	<b>Feltrim Avenue C1</b>	<b>Factory complaint - weather windy</b>

**Case Actions:**

Case Comments      Complaint received of odour inside and outside the complainant's property.

AB investigated. It was very windy (weather warning has been issued for strong winds). In the area between Trews Weir Reach and Rivermead Road there was a slight but noticeable odour. The smell did not last long at any one time, but it could be picked up quite frequently in the area. There were a variety of types of odour, mainly process smells. I could not detect any tower smell.

On site, the factory was not running. They were undertaking maintenance on the JLT tower, so the LF was running instead.

It appears that on this very windy day, with only one tower running, negative pressure was not being maintained as well as on a 'normal' processing day. Discussed with NP whether it would be worth running another tower as well. We decided that it might create further problems because it would introduce another odour source, and we would re-evaluate the situation that afternoon if required.

---

<b>WK/201500771</b>			
<b>05/05/2015</b>	<b>05/05/2015 14:30</b>	<b>Feltrim Avenue C2</b>	<b>Factory complaint - weather windy</b>

**Case Actions:**

Case Comments      The complainant reported an 'offensive smell'. He said that nothing had changed since he first complained 41 years ago. However he did go on to admit that the smell was less strong and happened less often now.

See notes on previous complaint about investigation.

I discussed with NP. We agreed that the factory would start up early, at 4pm today. This will increase the negative pressure in the building.

---

<b>WK/201500772</b>			
<b>05/05/2015</b>	<b>05/05/2015 15:19</b>	<b>Feltrim Avenue</b>	<b>Complaint about whistling noise from boilers</b>

**Case Actions:**

Case Comments      The complainant called about odour, but used the fact that he is still disturbed by whistling noise as evidence of the fact that 'there is no point in complaining because you don't do anything'

I explained that I had been unaware that the problem was still happening.

The complainant will call the Control Centre next time he is disturbed at night.

I spoke to NP – his staff know that the boiler should not be run beyond 70%, and if they hear the noise they must reduce the firing rate. However it is possible that at night the problem does still occur sometimes. He will remind all staff of these measures.

The new gas governor that is on order should prevent this from happening. It should be on site in the next few weeks.

No further calls received from the complainant and gas governor fitted so close case.

<b>Complaint Date</b>	<b>Odour Date / Time</b>	<b>Address</b>	<b>Details</b>
<b>WK/201502184</b>			
<b>26/06/2015</b>	<b>26/06/2015 12:00</b>	<b>Trews Weir Reach C1</b>	<b>Factory complaint - wind slight SW</b>
<b>Case Actions:</b>			
Case Comments	Complaint received - odour at 1115 for 15/20 minutes		
	AB investigated - slight, occasional process type odour.		
	The factory was not running at the time of complaint. They are undertaking maintenance on the JLT and SF towers, so the LF is running. The damper is shut on the SF, but there is no damper on the JLT tower. There is a noticeable odour from the effluent treatment plant on the yard.		
<b>WK/201502219</b>			
<b>29/06/2015</b>	<b>26/06/2015 16:30</b>	<b>Rivermead Road C1</b>	<b>Complaint made directly to factory at 16.30</b>
<b>Case Actions:</b>			
Case Comments	Complainant reports that he had to close his back door because of the smell.		
	The plant was processing normally from 15:00.		
<b>WK/201502264</b>			
<b>01/07/2015</b>	<b>01/07/2015 08:34</b>	<b>Old Mill Close C1</b>	<b>Factory complaint - weather warm and humid</b>
<b>Case Actions:</b>			
Case Comments	Complainant explained that she had opened her windows this morning and had to close them again because of the smell.		
	Called NP at factory - they are not running this morning. JLT tower smells very good and other two dampers are closed. There were 3 lorries which arrived on site between 7 and 7.30. The third of these wasn't tipped until 8 as it had to wait for the others to clear the building.		
	AB unable to investigate because of other appointments. NP will go out downwind to investigate.		
Case Comments	NP made a downwind investigation - he reported that no odour detected in the area from which the complaint came. He could only detect any odour from the site when walking beside the canal between the factory and the gas works. He described the smell as very slight clean treated air.		
<b>WK/201502293</b>			
<b>01/07/2015</b>	<b>01/07/2015 16:45</b>	<b>Salmonpool Lane C1</b>	<b>Factory complaint, wind SW, complaint about the odour</b>
<b>Case Actions:</b>			
Phone call received	Received call from the complainant stating that the smell has started up, saying that, "it's not the normal chemical smell it's the rotten meat smell"		
EPSU Officer Visit	Assessment made of the area around Haven Banks, Rivermead Road, Topsham Road and Salmonpool Lane. No odour detected.		
	The site has been processing since 13:00 the wind is now blowing SW, the site has no reported problems.		
<b>WK/201502353</b>			
<b>03/07/2015</b>	<b>03/07/2015 15:34</b>	<b>Edwin Road C1</b>	<b>Factory complaint</b>
<b>Case Actions:</b>			

Case comments Report received regarding a strong odour coming from the premises. The smell is so strong it is making the resident feel ill, they are also unable to have windows open or sit in the garden.

Made a call to the factory. The site had no reported problems and were processing.

This complaint came in when no officers were available to respond.

Phone call made Made call to the complainant. The odour was quite strong and was the 'normal smell'. The complainant has lived in the city for 70 years and is well aware of the factory and the smell. She said that the smell has not been around that much this year but she will call back if it gets bad again.

---

**WK/201502370**

**06/07/2015 06/07/2015 09:08 Water Lane C1 Factory Complaint**

**Case Actions:**

E-Mail Good morning

I'm just sending you this email to report that the smell from the SecAnim plant has been atrocious this morning. It's been truly offensive since before dawn today.

We had high hopes, as I know a few other Water Lane residents did, that the take over from JP Thomas/PDM would mean things improved around here. Sadly the last few weeks of warm weather have proven that they are able to operate with the same disregard for the environment that PDM were working under

Regards

Phone call made Made call to the factory. The factory shut down on Saturday at 17:00

They had a holding stock of 20 tonnes, 6 tonnes of which were chicken guts on a trailer parked inside the factory.

The factory is not processing this morning and has no reported problems; however it had 2 loads on knacker delivered before 8am.

The factory will start processing tonight at 20:00.

E-Mail Thank you for your email with reference to the SecAnim factory.

An officer has been down to the plant today to investigate your complaint and find out what has been happening today and over the weekend as the factory has not been processing since Saturday.

At the time of the officer visit the factory was still not processing and no faults were found.

As you are well aware, Exeter City Council (ECC) regulates the facility under the Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations

The factory is subject to regular inspections and a proactive monitoring program by ECC officers. ECC officers as well as the afore mentioned visits also make additional visits as part of the Council's procedure for investigating complaints. During these inspections and investigations ECC officers check the operational parameters of the factory and compliance with the conditions of the permit issued under the above legislation.

ECC will continue to monitor the site to ensure that they comply with the conditions of their permit.

Yours sincerely

---

<b>Complaint Date</b>	<b>Odour Date / Time</b>	<b>Address</b>	<b>Details</b>
-----------------------	--------------------------	----------------	----------------

---

**WK/201502383**

<b>06/07/2015</b>	<b>05/07/2015 12:00</b>	<b>St Bernards Close C1</b>	<b>Factory complaint - weather sunny with isolated showers</b>
-------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	--

**Case Actions:**

Case Comments Call received about odour yesterday (Sunday).

The complainant reported that he could smell the factory all day. He tried to call the site at around mid day but was unable to leave a message with the security guard.

The complainant said that this was the first time he had been disturbed by the smell and made a complaint this year.

AB called to advise NP about the complaint. The factory was not running on Sunday. There was a possible fault with the JLT tower, so he had decided to switch it off and run the LF instead. The damper on the SF was closed and the JLT ducting had been closed off as well using a tarpaulin as a temporary damper. He will speak to the security guard to ensure that all calls are recorded and complaint details are taken.

---

**WK/201502393**

<b>06/07/2015</b>	<b>06/07/2015 14:20</b>	<b>West Grove Road C1</b>	<b>Strong smell coming from factory - breezy and overcast</b>
-------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	---

**Case Actions:**

Phone call received Report of smell coming from factory.

Case Comments AB was in the area shortly after call. There was a very slight odour noticeable in some places. It came and went with the wind. In my opinion, if you did not know what the odour was, you would be unlikely to notice it or find it offensive.

I visited factory. They are not running, and the JLT tower was operating well. The other two towers have the dampers closed. I asked NP to start up the LF as well, because it is quite a windy day, and this would ensure that negative pressure should be maintained even during any particularly strong gusts.

---

**WK/201502402**

<b>06/07/2015</b>	<b>06/07/2015 14:27</b>	<b>St Bernards Close C1</b>	<b>Factory complaint - weather warm, overcast and breezy</b>
-------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	--

**Case Actions:**

Case Comments Call to report that complainant had been able to smell factory for last hour.

AB investigated - very slight odour noticeable at the complainant's property. It came and went with the wind. In my opinion, if you did not know what the odour was, you would be unlikely to notice it or find it offensive.

I visited factory. They are not running, and the JLT tower was operating well. The other two towers have the dampers closed. I asked NP to start up the LF as well, because it is quite a windy day, and this would ensure that negative pressure should be maintained even during any particularly strong gusts.

---

**WK/201502409**

<b>07/07/2015</b>	<b>06/07/2015 18:11</b>	<b>Water Lane C2</b>	<b>Factory complaint - weather warm and breezy</b>
-------------------	-------------------------	----------------------	--

**Case Actions:**

Case Comments Complaint of smell coming from the factory, and blowing towards his flat. He says that he is not normally put off by the smell but it is particularly bad at the moment. He advised officer that he may try and convince other residents to make similar complaints.

Case Comments The factory did not start up yesterday until after this complaint (about 2100). JLT and LF towers were running, and damper was closed on SF.

Load arrived at 17.55, but unlikely to be particularly odourous because of nature of material

---

**WK/201502550**

<b>15/07/2015</b>	<b>14/07/2015 16:30</b>	<b>Glenwood Rise C1</b>	<b>Factory complaint - weather hot and humid</b>
-------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------	--

**Case Actions:**

<b>Complaint Date</b>	<b>Odour Date / Time</b>	<b>Address</b>	<b>Details</b>
Case Comments	<p>Call from complainant, reporting that she had had to close her windows this afternoon because of the smell. When questioned, she said that this was the first time she had smelt the factory 'for ages.'</p> <p>She wished to know more about how the factory is regulated, so I explained. I also explained about the chemical scrubbers, residual odour, and the need for complainant to call us when the problem occurs so that we can visit and witness the smell/make investigations.</p> <p>I called the factory to advise them of the complaint. They are not running. The SF and JLT towers are both running, as they are testing the JLT tower controls. The LF damper is closed.</p>		

---

**WK/201502678**

**21/07/2015      20/07/2015 21:40      Rivermead Road C1      Factory complaint, warm, dry south westerly wind**

**Case Actions:**

Phone call made      Two complaints received by factory within 15 minutes of each other.

They were processing, but had no problems on site. They have checked the SCADA data etc from last night and had no boiler problems or deliveries.

This complainant described the smell as 'just being in the air'.

---

**WK/201502679**

**21/07/2015      20/07/2015 21:55      Salmonpool Lane C2      Factory complaint: warm, dry and light SW wind**

**Case Actions:**

Phone call made      Two complaints received by factory within 15 minutes of each other.

They were processing, but had no problems on site. They have checked the SCADA data etc from last night and had no boiler problems or deliveries.

This complainant described the smell as the worse ever.

---

**WK/201502730**

**21/07/2015      21/07/2015 12:00      Feltrim Avenue C1      Complaint about smell from factory. humid weather**

**Case Actions:**

EPSU Officer Visit      RS and NP investigated. JLT tower odour detected in the Belle Isle area, and smelling very clean.

The factory was not processing at the time of the complaint.

---

**WK/201502733**

**21/07/2015      20/07/2015 22:30      Rivermead Road C2      Factory complaint.**

**Case Actions:**

Phone call received      Call from a complainant who is very unhappy about the smell from the factory last night (the 20<sup>th</sup>).

He was very upset that ECC couldn't just prosecute. He was insistent that the factory was leaking, hence the smell was getting out.

The factory was processing, but had no problems on site. They have checked the SCADA data etc from last night and had no boiler problems or deliveries.

Complaint Date	Odour Date / Time	Address	Details
----------------	-------------------	---------	---------

**WK/201502739**

**21/07/2015      21/07/2015 16:00      Rivermead Road C2      Factory complaint, warm strong SW wind**

**Case Actions:**

Phone call made      The complainant was very unhappy about the smell last night (see previous complaint) and again today.

He was very upset that ECC couldn't just prosecute. He is very upset that we can't stop the factory from leaking unpleasant odour into the environment.

I explained what we did and how we regulate the factory. He is very unhappy about the factory being allowed to process when the wind is from the southwest, as it always impacts on the residential properties.

I explained the process and why one tower is always kept running even when the factory is not processing i.e. to keep the building under negative pressure.

The complainant is not happy and thinks we aren't doing our jobs correctly.

EPSU Officer Visit      Officers investigated. They found a process-type smell in the Rivermead Road and Salmonpool Lane area at 1630. At 17:30 there was a strong Process-type odour in the area. This was localised to the part of Rivermead Road leading past Bagshot Avenue.

Phone call made      Call to factory. At the time of the complaint, the factory had started up but was not processing at full capacity, that started at approximately 18:00. They had no reported problems and the start up was normal.

There was nothing on site or in SCADA data which would explain odour witnessed downwind.

**WK/201502760**

**22/07/2015      22/07/2015 16:30      Water Lane C3      Complaint about smell from factory and lorries**

**Case Actions:**

Phone call received      Received complaint about the smell from the factory and from passing lorries. He says that some are uncovered.

Phone call made      Made a call to the site and reported that we have received a complaint about a lorry. They will try to work out which lorry it was, but without a registration or a definitive time it is difficult to id.

There is no condition or law to state that lorries leaving the site are required to be sheeted as they have been cleaned and are empty.

Phone call made      Call to complainant - no answer

Phone call received      Received call from NP

They have been through the records of lorry movements in and out of the site and have nothing that would tie in with the time of the complaint. Nick asked us to remind any complainants to try and get registration s or accurate times, otherwise it is very difficult to investigate.

Phone call made      Call to the complainant who is not happy with the factory being in its current location. He has complained in the past and can't understand why the council can't just move the factory to Sowton or further afield.

The complainant didn't know what time the lorry passed his property as he rang in some time after the event. I advised the complainant to make a note of the time and direction of the lorry, or to get a registration so that we can investigate and advise.

The complainant will make notes and call when it's a problem.

Complaint Date	Odour Date / Time	Address	Details
<b>WK/201503022</b>			
03/08/2015	03/08/2015 15:29	Glenwood Rise C2	Factory complaint - weather overcast and breezy
<b>Case Actions:</b>			
Case Comments	<p>AB investigated - I spent nearly 30 minutes at the top of Glenwood Rise talking to a neighbour of the complainant. During that time I could smell a very slight, occasional odour from JLT. The neighbour did not smell anything during this time, which supports my observation that the odour was slight and would not be noticed by everyone.</p> <p>The site is not running, and won't start up until 10pm. I requested NP to run the LF tower as well as the JLT as it is a windy day (running a second tower will increase the negative pressure inside the building).</p>		
<b>WK/201503026</b>			
03/08/2015	03/08/2015 16:09	St Bernards Close C1	Factory complaint - weather overcast with a slight breeze
<b>Case Actions:</b>			
Phone call received	Complaint received regarding the odour coming from the factory. Weather is overcast with a slight breeze.		
Case Comments	<p>AB was investigating an earlier complaint at the time of this call. I spent nearly 30 minutes at the top of Glenwood Rise talking to a neighbour of the complainant. During that time I could smell a very slight, occasional odour from JLT. The neighbour did not smell anything during this time, which supports my observation that the odour was slight and would not be noticed by everyone.</p> <p>The site is not running, and won't start up until 10pm. I requested NP to run the LF tower as well as the JLT as it is a windy day (running a second tower will increase the negative pressure inside the building).</p>		
<b>WK/201503028</b>			
03/08/2015	03/08/2015 16:34	Feltrim Avenue C2	Complaint about the smell this afternoon from factory. Weather warm, humid.
<b>Case Actions:</b>			
Phone call received	Complaint received about the smell this afternoon from factory.		
Case Comments	<p>AB in area shortly before call - I spent nearly 30 minutes at the top of Glenwood Rise talking to a gentleman who was cleaning his car. During that time I could smell a very slight, occasional odour from JLT. The neighbour did not smell anything during this time, which supports my observation that the odour was slight and would not be noticed by everyone.</p> <p>The site is not running, and won't start up until 10pm. I requested NP to run the LF tower as well as the JLT as it is a windy day (running a second tower will increase the negative pressure inside the building).</p>		
<b>WK/201503126</b>			
06/08/2015	05/08/2015 12:18	Kimberley Road C1	Factory complaint
<b>Case Actions:</b>			
Case Comments	<p>Call received from complainant - she wished to report the odour at her allotments (Trews Weir) on Saturday 1st, Monday 3rd and Wednesday 5th.</p> <p>There were no process or other problems at the site on these days, all towers were operating as expected.</p> <p>I asked her to please call as soon as she feels the odour is unacceptable, so that we can investigate more fully.</p>		
<b>WK/201503400</b>			
17/08/2015	17/08/2015 14:48	Marsh Green Road North C1	Factory Complaint
<b>Case Actions:</b>			
Case Comments	<p>Call to report odour, first noticed at 10 this morning.</p> <p>JLT staff investigated – found a very slight clean treated air smell on Marsh Green Road</p> <p>Not processing, SF and LF dampers shut and JLT on set points. Few deliveries received today.</p>		

Complaint Date	Odour Date / Time	Address	Details
<b>WK/201503522</b>			
21/08/2015	21/08/2015 00:01	Salmonpool Lane C2	Factory complaint - between midnight and 2.00am the smell was so bad that he had to get up and shut all his doors and windows.
<b>Case Actions:</b>			
Case comments	<p>Between midnight and 2.00am the smell got so bad that the complainant had to get up and shut his doors and windows.</p> <p>RS made visit to the factory. The factory was running last night. Feed was switched off at 03:30 and finally stopped processing at 06:00am this morning.</p> <p>Weather was damp with a light southerly wind.</p> <p>Looking at the SCADA system there was no fluctuation in any of the tower settings and the boiler was ok. No deliveries to the factory during the time of the complaint.</p>		
Phone call made	<p>Call to the complainant.</p> <p>The complainant said that they have live in the area a long time and last night the smell woke him up is was so bad. He described the smell as a strong chemical smell that you could nearly chew.</p> <p>I explained the findings of my investigation.</p>		

<b>WK/201503567</b>			
25/08/2015	24/08/2015 21:45	Rivermead Road C1	Factory complaint - weather wet
<b>Case Actions:</b>			
Case Comments	<p>Complaint made direct to factory out of hours.</p> <p>The factory was not processing at the time of the call. The JLT tower was running well. The other two towers had dampers closed.</p> <p>A Lloyd Maunder load was received at 2105.</p>		

<b>WK/201504980</b>			
01/09/2015	28/08/2015 17:55	Bagshot Avenue C1	Factory complaint - weather overcast
<b>Case Actions:</b>			
Case Comments	<p>Complaint made directly to factory out of hours.</p> <p>The factory was starting up at the time of the call. JLT tower was running well and the other towers had just been switched on.</p> <p>Wind SW</p>		

<b>WK/201505606</b>			
28/09/2015	28/09/2015 15:27	Marsh Green Road North C1	Factory Complaint - weather warm and sunny
<b>Case Actions:</b>			
Phone call received EPSU Officer Visit	<p>Complaint about odour from factory during the last hour AB investigated.</p> <p>There was a raw material smell passing the factory along the footpath which was very faintly detectable at the complainant's property.</p> <p>I returned to the factory and found that three deliveries had been received in quick succession. One of these had taken longer than normal to tip. There was one small fallen stock van waiting outside the factory, and this was causing the odour that I witnessed. While I was on site this went into the factory to tip.</p> <p>This was an unusual combination of events and the site staff worked quickly to tip the lorries to minimise any impact.</p> <p>The site was not processing and the JLT tower was running well.</p>		

<b>Complaint Date</b>	<b>Odour Date / Time</b>	<b>Address</b>	<b>Details</b>
<b>WK/201505608</b>			
<b>28/09/2015</b>	<b>28/09/2015 15:53</b>	<b>Willeys Avenue C1</b>	<b>Factory complaint</b>

**Case Actions:**

Phone call received Complainant called in because J L Thomas has been smelling badly since about 14:30pm today. She said it hasn't been so bad over the summer, but today had been really bad.

EPSU Officer Visit AB investigated.

There was a raw material smell passing the factory along the footpath which was very faintly detectable at the complainant's property.

I returned to the factory and found that three deliveries had been received in quick succession. One of these had taken longer than normal to tip. There was one small fallen stock van waiting outside the factory, and this was causing the odour that I witnessed. While I was on site this went into the factory to tip.

This was an unusual combination of events and the site staff worked quickly to tip the lorries to minimise any impact.

The site was not processing and the JLT tower was running well.

**This page is intentionally left blank**